

am
FX

Brent Donnelly

bdonnelly@spectramarkets.com
(212) 398-6230



Guess how many varieties of apple there are.

Current Views

Long USDCAD @ 1.2691
Stop loss 1.2589 / Take profit 1.2809

Short ES @ 4684
Stop loss 4741 / Take profit 4545

Short ETHUSD @ 4210
Stop loss 4902 / Take profit 3010
(From MacroTactical Crypto #4)

Even hawkisher?

Friday Macro dinner note

A few readers reached out to me to make an excellent point about Friday's note: There was essentially no talk at the Thursday macro dinner about the left tail of the Fed distribution. The question everyone asked was "how many hikes?" The idea that zero or even 1 or 2 hikes is possible was not discussed. This is an interesting observation and clearly shows that sentiment is leaning (logically) towards a rapidly more hawkish Fed. This could theoretically set off some alarm bells with regard to sentiment, but to me it's logical given the incredibly strong US jobs data, 40-year high price increases, and raging politics of inflation.

If anything, the **expectations at the dinner were not all that hawkish**, in my opinion, with 8 out of 12 votes for May or June as the first Fed hike. There is certainly plenty of room for the Fed to outhawk that.

The Fed is getting absolutely ripped to shreds at this point as Republicans, [Warsh](#), El Erian, and others pile on. Here is Mohammed El Erian's comment from this weekend, which captures the moment:

"The characterization of inflation as transitory -- it's probably the worst inflation call in the history of the Federal Reserve," El-Erian said on CBS's "Face the Nation" on Sunday.

"It results in a high probability of a policy mistake," he said. "So the Fed must quickly, starting this week, regain control of the inflation narrative and regain its own credibility. Otherwise, it will become a driver of higher inflation expectations that feed off themselves."

And El Erian is not hindsight hurray here. He was saying inflation is not transitory [back in July](#). And here's a snip of Kevin Warsh's WSJ oped:

The Fed Is the Main Inflation Culprit

The central bank has enabled price increases that may soon pose a risk to financial stability. If price stability is squandered, financial stability is put at risk. If financial stability is lost, the economy is imperiled, and the social contract is threatened.

Given the Brainard statement's prioritization of inflation and the incredible pressure to show some real hawkish teeth... **I think you should determine whatever you think is the most hawkish possible outcome from the Fed this week—and then imagine a scenario that is even more hawkish.** The Fed is a cornered animal about to attack. Long USDCAD and short risky assets into and through the Fed continue to make sense to me.

We have spent the past 18 months immersed in the greatest monetary policy experiment of all time. Now it's about to be unwound. What do you think is going to happen?

Quarterly trades ideas recap

Today is my quarterly trade ideas recap. On October 24th, 2017, I wrote the following after reading Philip Tetlock's excellent book Superforecasting:

One of Tetlock's big beefs, which is a pet peeve of mine too, is the litany of forecasts that stream daily on CNBC, Bloomberg and the internet without any scrutiny or follow-up. Specifically, the relentless stream of "Crash Imminent" predictions is a complete joke. These inaccurate, one-way forecasters are not called out, they are instead deified as "the famed economist who correctly predicted the collapse of 2000 and 2008" etc.

Most analysts that get credit like this predict a crash every year or two and then claim credit in the very few years their call is right. There is no verification (and many forecasts are so open-ended they are impossible to verify) so anyone can make any prediction and it is more important how famous they are, not how accurate they are. I don't mean to pick on a specific website or forecaster—As an industry, Wall Street is simply terrible at following up on the flood of forecasts we make every day.

It is pretty weird how we don't even have much data on who is good at forecasting the main US economic data, when this is easily verifiable with a bit of work. Anyone know the Brier Score of the top 10 forecasters of US economic data? Is their forecasting skill persistent? There are many reasons not to follow up on forecasts but most of them suit the forecaster not the users of the forecast.

For example, people sometimes ask me to publish my trade idea outcomes. I hesitate for four reasons:

1. If I am right a lot in AM/FX but my real-world P&L isn't that great, it looks bad and feels bad. "Great calls, Brent, but what's with your teeny little P&L?"
2. If I am wrong a lot, I look stupid. My credibility could be damaged.
3. If I am right a lot, it looks like I am bragging. Victory laps are annoying.
4. The purpose of AM/FX is not to publish ideas which readers blindly follow. My goal is to help you make your own investment and trading decisions. The idea is to reveal my process and put stuff on your radar. Hopefully that helps you improve your process and plants the seed for some good trades on your end.

The thing is, though, after reading Superforecasting I feel it's lame and disingenuous to make forecasts and then not follow up. So, I will collect and publish my trade idea results once per quarter. Caveats: My real-world trading P&L can differ dramatically from the P&L of the "Current Views". Also: I cannot guarantee the accuracy of the data (though I present it, in full, on the next page and I'm pretty sure it's accurate). Past performance does not guarantee future results. Ask your doctor if AM/FX is right for you. Results on next page.

On the next page, you will find a detailed sheet that shows my trade ideas since I started at Spectra on September 7. In order for the trades to be comparable, I assume a portfolio with a \$4m stop loss that risks \$100,000 on each trade (2.5% of free capital). You could divide all the numbers by 10 if you have a smaller account, and if you have a \$40 million stop loss at a hedge fund, you can multiply all the numbers by 10. These trades are all liquid macro, there is not much of a capacity issue.

The \$ amounts are not really the point; the point is just to normalize the trades because reporting % returns on trades makes no sense. What makes sense is to normalize risk based on how wide the stop loss is. Tighter stops = bigger positions and vice versa. So, to be clear: I risk \$100,000 on each trade, backing out the position size from the difference between the entry point and the stop loss. This is all fully explained in Chapter 11 of [Alpha Trader](#). I like that the risk management Chapter happens to be Chapter 11. Get it?

Also note this is not an actively-managed portfolio, it's just a series of trade ideas. I went periods of two weeks with zero risk in the sidebar. The main point of this exercise is simply: accountability.

AM/FX Trade Ideas since 07SEP21

Risking \$100,000 on each trade

Free capital: 4,000,000 2.5% of free capital on each trade

| | | Asset | Entry | Stop loss | Position size | Take profit | Actual exit | P&L | Exit date | Holding period (days) | Notes |
|--------|---|--------------------------|---------|-----------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|---|
| 16-Sep | L | USDCNH | 6.4488 | 6.3990 | 12,849,398 | 6.5590 | 6.4510 | 4,382 | 20-Sep | 4 | |
| 21-Sep | L | ES | 4,345 | 4,297 | 42 | 4,414 | 4,345 | - | 22-Sep | 1 | |
| 23-Sep | S | ES | 4,408 | 4,451 | 47 | 4,301 | 4,453 | (104,651) | 23-Sep | 0 | |
| 5-Oct | L | EDZ3 | 98.8950 | 98.7900 | 381 | 99.0300 | 98.785 | (104,762) | 7-Oct | 2 | |
| 5-Oct | L | 2-month AUD digital call | 20% | | | | 46% | 130,000 | 20-Oct | 15 | Spot ref. 0.7285 |
| 18-Oct | B | GBP calendar spread | 0.23 | | | | 0.64 | 178,261 | 4-Nov | 17 | Sell 01NOV and buy 15NOV 1.3550 GBP put (spot ref 1.3730) |
| 22-Oct | S | AUDNZD | 1.0476 | 1.0622 | 10,072,522 | 1.0200 | 1.0456 | 29,625 | 2-Nov | 11 | |
| 26-Oct | S | USDCAD | 1.2355 | 1.2444 | 9,029,215 | 1.2201 | 1.2413 | (42,084) | 2-Nov | 7 | |
| 17-Nov | S | ETHUSD | 4,210 | 4,901 | 145 | 3,010 | | | | | |
| 18-Nov | B | 6-day AUDNZD 1.0300 put | | | | | 0 | (100,000) | 24-Nov | 6 | Spot ref. 1.0340 |
| 22-Nov | S | AUDCHF | 0.6731 | 0.6816 | 10,823,529 | 0.6577 | 0.6517 | 251,546 | 2-Dec | 10 | |
| 29-Nov | S | ES | 4,632 | 4,677 | 44 | 4,521 | 4,521 | 246,667 | 2-Dec | 3 | |
| 9-Dec | S | ES | 4,684 | 4,741 | 35 | 4,545 | | | | | |
| 9-Dec | L | USDCAD | 1.2691 | 1.2589 | 7,787,689 | 1.2809 | | | | | |

Total P&L 488,983 6.9 average holding period
Winners 6
Losers 4
Win% 60%
avgWIN 140,080
avgLOSE (87,874)

Takeaways:

- A good start. The AUD and GBP in October were great and the ES and AUDCHF in November were excellent. The rest was a bunch of noise with three trades stopped out and 6/10 winners. The ES, ETH and USDCAD in gray are still alive. I didn't mark them to market in the sheet, but if I did, the results would be a bit better.
- The 23SEP ES trade got stopped out near the high and would have been a big winner otherwise. The 29NOV ES trade was within 6 points of getting stopped out. My stop losses, therefore, appear to be a bit too tight on the S&P trades. When stopped out, I assume normal slippage. The assumption used on stop loss slippage is not material to the results.
- Average holding period is 7 days. Winners average 10 days, losers 4 days.
- The AUDNZD put was the worst trade. Never in the money for one second. Selling AUDNZD at the lows is not usually a good idea and it was a bad idea here.
- Had no trades in the sidebar at all for more than half the days this quarter. I will aim for more trades next quarter: 15-20 instead of 10.

Thanks for reading. Feedback is always appreciated.

good luck ↑↓ be nimble

There are about 7,500 varieties of apple. That seems unnecessary.



Black Diamond apple

[Subscribe to AM/FX here](#)

Markets and Trading Commentary Disclaimer

This material has been provided by Spectra Markets, LLC (“Spectra Markets”). This material is confidential and therefore intended for your sole use. You may not reproduce, distribute, or transmit this material or any portion thereof to anyone without prior written permission from Spectra Markets.

This material is solely for informational and discussion purposes only. Spectra Markets is not a registered investment advisor or commodity trading advisor. This material should not be viewed as a current or past recommendation or an offer to sell or the solicitation to enter into a particular position or adopt a particular investment strategy. Spectra Markets does not provide, and has not provided, any investment advice or personal recommendation to you in relation to any transaction described in this material. Accordingly, Spectra Markets is under no obligation to, and shall not, determine the suitability for you of any transaction described in this material.

To be clear: Your individual circumstances have not been assessed. You must determine, on your own behalf or through independent professional advice, the merits, terms, conditions, risks, and consequences of any transactions described in this material. Securities described in this material may not be eligible for sale in all jurisdictions or to certain categories of investors. This material may also contain information regarding derivatives and other complex financial products. Do not invest in such products unless you fully understand and are willing to assume the risks associated with such products. Neither Spectra Markets nor any of its directors, officers, employees, representatives, or agents, accept any liability whatsoever for any direct, indirect, or consequential losses (in contract, tort or otherwise) arising from the use of this material or reliance on information contained herein, to the fullest extent allowed by law.

The opinions expressed in this material represent the current, good faith views of the author at the time of publication. Any information contained in this material is not and should not be regarded as investment research or derivatives research as determined by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“CFTC”), the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”), the National Futures Association (“NFA”) or any other relevant regulatory body. The author is currently employed at a trading desk. The opinions may not be objective or independent of the interests of the author. Additionally, the author may have consulted with various trading desks while preparing this material and a trading desk may have accumulated positions in the financial instruments or related derivatives products that are the subject of this material.

Spectra Markets does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of the information presented in this material. Past performance and simulation data do not necessarily indicate future performance. Predictions, opinions, and other information contained in this material are subject to change continually and without notice of any kind and may no longer be true after the date indicated. Any forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and Spectra Markets assumes no duty to and does not undertake to update forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are subject to numerous assumptions, risks, and uncertainties, which change over time. Actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in forward-looking statements. The value of any investment may also fluctuate as a result of market changes.

Spectra Markets is affiliated with Spectra FX Solutions LLC, an introducing broker that is registered with the NFA; Spectra FX Solutions LLP, which is a registered entity with the U.K.’s Financial Conduct Authority; and SpectrAxe, LLC, a swap execution facility that is currently in the process of registering with the CFTC. The disclosures for Spectra FX Solutions LLC and Spectra FX Solutions LLP related to the separate businesses of Spectra FX can be found at <http://www.spectrafx.com/>.